IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI BILAL NAZKI, THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH

-

WRIT APPEAL NO : 1471 of 2005

(Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the Order dated 04/08/2005 in WP NO : 16826 OF 2005 on the file of the High Court.)

Between:

Kumpati Ramaiah, S/o. Polaiah,
Hindu, R/o.P. Venkatapuram Village,

Besthavaripeta Mandal, Prakasam District.

..... APPELLANT

AND

1 The Joint Collector, Ongole, Prakasam District.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer,

Markapur, Prakasam District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer,

Besthavaripeta Mandal, Prakasam District.

.....RESPONDENTS

JUDGMENT: **(Per Hon'ble Sri Bilal Nazki, The Acting Chief Justice)**

The learned single Judge did not grant an interim order on the ground that the Revenue Divisional Officer had cancelled the authorization of the Writ Petitioner, after process of an inquiry and by recording reasons for the conclusions arrived at, with regard to Charges No.1 and 2 relating to irregularities in the running of a fair price shop. This Writ Appeal has been filed against the said order.

It is settled law that Writ Appeal would lie in terms of Letters Patent Act only against the judgments passed by the learned single Judge. We do not find that by passing the impugned order, the learned single Judge had settled any rights of the parties, and the order passed by the learned single Judge is not a judgment within the meaning of Letters Patent Act.

Therefore, the Writ appeal is misconceived, and is, accordingly, dismissed. No costs.

(Bilal Nazki, A.C.J)

17th August, 2005

(G.Chandraiah, J)

LUR